

Malaysia changes trademark law and joins the Madrid System

In July, Malaysia's House of Parliament has passed the new Trademark Bill 2019 ("Bill"). It will replace the current Trade Marks Act of 1976 and facilitate Malaysia's accession to the Madrid Protocol.

What is the Madrid Protocol?

The Madrid Protocol is a convenient and cost-effective way to register and manage trademarks in 121 countries worldwide. It allows trademark owners to file one single application for the registration of a so-called International Registration ("IR-mark") and pay one set of fees in order to apply for national trademark protection in all countries of their interest. The centralized system also facilitates the modification, renewal or expansion of a global trademark portfolio and is often cheaper than applying for trademark protection in multiple countries on a national level.

When will it be possible to file an IR-mark covering Malaysia?

The Bill has not prescribed the manner in which the Madrid Protocol will be implemented, but according to a recent WIPO press release, foreign companies and trademark owners can seek trademark protection through the Madrid System from 17 December 2019 onwards.

What are the other key changes of the Bill?

Currently, it is only possible to file a trademark for one class in Malaysia (single-class filing system). If a trademark owner wants to register the trademark for multiple classes, multiple trademarks have to be applied for. This will change upon the accession to the Madrid Protocol where Malaysia will adopt a multi-class system.

The Bill further recognizes non-traditional trademarks such as sound trademarks, scent trademarks, colour trademarks, shapes, packaging etc., provided that (i) the trademark is graphically represented and (ii) capable of distinguishing goods and services from one source to those from other sources.

The Bill further clarifies that the grounds of refusal for registration will be categorised as either (i) absolute grounds of refusal or (i) relative grounds of refusal.

While absolute grounds of refusal refer to grounds which pose major obstacles for a trademark registration (e.g. if the trademark is likely to cause confusion or deceive the public or consist of offensive matter), relative grounds of refusal refer to objections which are based on earlier similar or identical trademarks and well-known trademarks.

In addition, the Bill explicitly recognizes common law rights under the law of passing off, collective trademarks and trademarks as a form of security interest.

Your Contact Persons

Another important change is the expansion of the scope of trademark infringement. Under the Bill, a trademark infringement will also take place if the infringer uses the offending mark for goods and services that are similar to the goods and services claimed under the registered mark and not only if it is used for goods and services which are actually registered under the trademark.

In addition, the Bill introduces remedies against groundless threats of infringement proceedings and penalties for false representation in relation to the goods or services for which a trademark is registered.

In sum, the Bill modernises the current existing trademark law in Malaysia and brings it in line with international standards. The possibility to register IR-marks in Malaysia will particularly be of huge advantage to multinational companies, enabling trademark owners to protect their trademarks more easily in order to successfully access Malaysia's dynamic and diversified market.



Pascal Brinkmann, LL.M. (Stellenbosch)

Managing Director
Rechtsanwalt / Attorney-at-Law (Germany) &
Registered Foreign Lawyer (Singapore)
Accredited Tax Practitioner (Income Tax)
(Singapore)
Phone +60 3 21660085
pascal.brinkmann@luther-services.com



Anja Schwarz, LL.M. (London)

Rechtsanwältin, Attorney-at-Law (Germany)
Registered Foreign Lawyer (Singapore)
Phone: +65 6408 8000
DID: +65 6408 8024
anja.schwarz@luther-lawfirm.com

Disclaimer

Although every effort has been made to offer current and correct information, this publication has been prepared to provide information on recent regulatory and legal developments in Singapore only. It is not exhaustive and thus does not cover all topics with which it deals. It will not be updated and cannot substitute individual legal and/or tax advice. This publication is distributed with the understanding that Luther LLP, the editors and authors cannot be held responsible for the results of any actions taken on the basis of information contained herein or omitted, nor for any errors or omissions in this regard.

Should you have any questions as to the PDPA and your obligations or should you like to explore any of the options covered in more detail, please do not hesitate to contact us.

This newsletter is published by Luther LLP. Luther LLP is licensed as a Foreign Law Practice and collaborates with I.N.C. Law LLC where advice on Singapore law is necessary.



Luther Rechtsanwaltsgesellschaft mbH advises in all areas of business law. Our clients include medium-sized companies and large corporations, as well as the public sector.

Berlin, Brussels, Cologne, Dusseldorf, Essen, Frankfurt a. M., Hamburg, Hanover, Leipzig,
London, Luxembourg, Munich, Shanghai, Singapore, Stuttgart, Yangon

Luther Corporate Services: Delhi-Gurgaon, Kuala Lumpur, Shanghai, Singapore, Yangon

Your local contacts can be found on our website www.luther-lawfirm.com.

Hits the mark. Luther.

